

NOTE: CHANGES MADE BY COURT

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION**

CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, a
California Charter City, and Municipal
Corporation, the HUNTINGTON
BEACH CITY COUNCIL, MAYOR
OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, TONY
STRICKLAND, and MAYOR PRO
TEM OF HUNTINGTON BEACH,
GRACEY VAN DER MARK,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official
capacity as Governor of the State of
California, and individually;
GUSTAVO VELASQUEZ in his
official capacity as Director of the State
of California Department of Housing
and Community Development, and
individually; STATE LEGISLATURE;
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT;
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
ASSOCIATION OF
GOVERNMENTS; and DOES 1-50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT
STIPULATION TO SET BRIEFING
SCHEDULE ON MOTIONS
CHALLENGING FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT [39]**

Compl. filed: March 9, 2023
Am. Compl. filed: March 27, 2023

The Hon. Fred W. Slaughter

Trial Date: None Set

///

1 Having reviewed and considered the Joint Stipulation to Set Briefing
2 Schedule on Motions Challenging First Amended Complaint [39] (the
3 “Stipulation”), signed by counsel for Plaintiffs City of Huntington Beach, the
4 Huntington Beach City Council, Mayor of Huntington Beach Tony Strickland, and
5 Mayor Pro Tem of Huntington Beach Gracey van der Mark (collectively
6 “Plaintiffs”); counsel for Defendant Southern California Association of
7 Governments (“SCAG”); and counsel for Defendants Gavin Newsom, Gustavo
8 Velasquez, and State of California Department of Housing and Community
9 Development (collectively “the State Defendants”), and good cause appearing, the
10 court **GRANTS** the Stipulation and **ORDERS** the following:

- 11
12 1. SCAG and the State Defendants shall file responses to Plaintiff’s First
13 Amended Complaint (the “FAC”) **on or before May 1, 2023**.
- 14 2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to any motion brought by SCAG
15 and/or the State Defendants challenging the FAC **on or before June 6,**
16 **2023**. If the Plaintiffs choose to file a single opposition to all of the
17 separate respective motions filed by respective defendants, Plaintiffs’
18 opposition may be up to thirty (30) pages instead of fifteen (15) for
19 their sole opposition brief.
- 20 3. SCAG and the State Defendants shall file any replies in support of their
21 respective motions challenging the FAC on or before **June 22, 2023**.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

1 4. Any motions challenging the FAC shall be calendared by the respective
2 party/parties in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
3 the Central District of California Local Rules, and this court's
4 procedures on its website at [https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-](https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-fred-w-slaughter)
5 [fred-w-slaughter](https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-fred-w-slaughter).

6
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 DATED: April 11, 2023



Hon. Fred W. Slaughter
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE